## Error estimation for the implementation of arccot starting from arctan

Johan Vervloet

September 3, 2004

Theorema 1. If the equation

$$\operatorname{arccot} x = \arctan \frac{1}{x}$$
 (1)

is used to implement  $\operatorname{arccot} x$  for x > 0, and the relative error of the the division and  $\operatorname{arctan} implementation$  is bounded by  $|\epsilon| < \frac{4-\sqrt{2}}{4}$ , then the following holds for the calculated result  $\tilde{y}$ :

$$\tilde{y} = (\operatorname{arccot} x)(1+\delta) \tag{2}$$

where  $|\delta| < 4|\epsilon|$ .

Proof. Instead of calculating

$$\operatorname{arccot} x = \arctan \frac{1}{x}$$
 (3)

an implementation will typically return

$$\tilde{f} = \arctan\left(\frac{1}{x}(1+\epsilon_d)\right)(1+\epsilon_a)$$
(4)

Where  $\epsilon_d$  and  $\epsilon_a$  are the relative errors introduced by the machine division and arctan. Both  $|\epsilon_d|$  and  $|\epsilon_a|$  are bounded by  $|\epsilon|$ .

We know that  $1 \arctan(z(1+\varepsilon))$  can be written as

$$\arctan(z(1+\varepsilon)) = \arctan(1+z)(1+\delta_0)$$
(5)

where

$$\delta_0 \le \max_{|\eta| < |\varepsilon|} \left| \frac{1}{1 + z^2 (1+\eta)^2} \frac{z\varepsilon}{\arctan z} \right| \tag{6}$$

Because

$$\frac{z}{\arctan z} < z+1 \tag{7}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See the article on http://www.win.ua.ac.be/~jvvloet/onderzoek/properr.pdf

for z > 0, we have that

$$\delta_0 \le \max_{|\eta| < |\varepsilon|} \left| \frac{z+1}{1+z^2(1+\eta)^2} \varepsilon \right|$$
(8)

We will now try to bound the factor  $\varepsilon$  is multiplied by:

$$\frac{z+1}{1+z^2(1+\eta)^2} < 2 \tag{9}$$

To accomplish this, it is sufficient that for all real z

$$2z^2(1+\eta)^2 - z + 1 > 0 \tag{10}$$

Since expression (10) describes a concave<sup>2</sup> parabola, it suffices that it has no zeros, so its discriminant should be strictly negative:

$$1 - 8(1+\eta)^2 < 0 \tag{11}$$

The zeros of equation (11) are given by

$$\frac{\pm\sqrt{2}-4}{4} \tag{12}$$

If  $|\varepsilon| < \frac{4-\sqrt{2}}{4}$  and  $|\eta| < |\varepsilon|$ , we have that (11) holds. And thus (10) is true as well. So from (9) and (8) we have that  $|\delta_0| < |2\varepsilon|$ . Applying (5) on (4) finally leads to

$$\tilde{f} = \left(\arctan\frac{1}{x}\right)(1+\delta_0)(1+\epsilon_a) \tag{13}$$

with  $|\delta_0| < 2|\epsilon_d|$ .

Because  $|\epsilon_d| \leq |\epsilon|$  and  $|\epsilon_a| \leq |\epsilon|$ , it is not hard to see that equation (13) can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{f} = \left(\arctan\frac{1}{x}\right)(1+\delta)$$
 (14)

with  $|\delta| < 4|\epsilon|$ , which completes the proof.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Is this the correct translation for 'hol'?